

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 KIMBERLY YOUNG, *et al.*,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 REGENCE BLUESHIELD, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.
14

Case No. C07-2008RSL

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
TO FILE A SUR-REPLY
REGARDING MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION


15
16 This matter comes before the Court on Regence's motion to file a sur-reply in
17 opposition to plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration. Regence seeks an opportunity to
18 respond to new arguments plaintiffs made in their reply in support of their motion for
19 reconsideration. Regence's response to those arguments could clarify the issues and
20 assist the Court. Moreover, although there has been an unusual number of filings related
21 to the motion for reconsideration, the motion is directed towards an important, and
22 dispositive, issue.

23 Accordingly, the motion to file a sur-reply (Dkt. #113) is GRANTED. Regence
24 must file its sur-reply by September 3, 2009. The Clerk of the Court is directed to renote
25 the motion for reconsideration (Dkt. #103) on the Court's calendar for September 4, 2009.

26 ORDER GRANTING MOTION
TO FILE A SUR-REPLY - 1

1 No further briefing on the motion for reconsideration will be considered.

2
3 DATED this 31st day of August, 2009.

4
5 
6 Robert S. Lasnik
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25